

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12360 of 2017

=====

Ram Naresh Choudhary Son of Late Nityadev Choudhary, resident of Village-
Basuki, Post Office- Basuki Bihari, Police Station- Madhwapur, District-
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Union of India.
3. The Ministry of Road Transport and High Ways, New Delhi through its
Principal Secretary.
4. The Principal Secretary Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New
Delhi.
5. The Commissioner, Division Darbhanga.
6. The District Collector, Madhubani.
7. District Land Acquisition Officer, Madhubani, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC-25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been filed for the grant of
following relief(s):

*“(i) For quashing of order issued vide memo No.
418 dated Appellate 03-07-2017 by First
Authority cum Commissioner, Darbhanga
Division, Darbhanga whereby and whereunder
the claim of compensation against the acquire
land under land acquisition by the authority has
been rejected on the ground of limitation without
going in the merit of the present case.*



(ii) For quashing the order issued vide memo No. 40511-04148 dated 03-04-2017 issued by Public Grievance Redressal Authority, Madhubani, whereby and whereunder the case of the petitioner has been dropped due to time frame under the law, without going in the merit of the case.

(iii) For any other/others relief for which petitioner is entitle to in the facts and circumstances of the present matter.”

3. Learned State counsel submits that now the only option is available to the petitioner to approach the competent civil court for the redressal of grievance.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the competent civil court.

5. If such petition is filed, the court concerned shall take into account the fact that the petitioner was pursuing civil writ remedy while dealing with the limitation petition.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

