

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12486 of 2017

=====

Ram Chhabila Ram Son of Late Rajdeo Ram, Resident of Village-Ancha,
Police Station-Daudnagar, District-Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, District-Aurangabad.
4. The Additional Collector, District-Aurangabad.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Daudnagar, District-Aurangabad.
6. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Daudnagar, District-Aurangabad
7. The Circle Officer, Daudnagar Block, District-Aurangabad.
8. The Bihar State Pul Nirman Nigam Mangles Road, Patna through its Managing Director.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha -Sc19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the State as also Bihar Raj Pul Nirman Nigam Ltd. are present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

*“for issuance of appropriate
writ(s)/order(s)/direction(s) to the Respondents
authorities to make payment of fair compensation in
favour of the petitioner in respect of the part of his
land appertaining to Khata No.3, Plot No. 1585
situated at Mauza-Daudnagar in the district of*



Aurangabad which is utilizing by the Respondent for the purpose to construct the Daudnagar Nasriganj Bridge over the Sone River without his consent and without adopting any process of acquisition. And/or for any other order/orders for granting any other relief/reliefs to which petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. A counter-affidavit of respondent no. 8, the Senior Project Engineer, Works Division, Gaya is on record and according to paragraph-6, part of the land is ‘Bakast land’ which has been declared as Government land. Some part are ‘Raiyati’. However, the name of the petitioner does not figure either in the *raiyyiti* land or the Government land and as such, he is not entitled for any compensation.

4. Though the State by way of counter-affidavit proved that the petitioner has no case, since there is no appearance, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

