

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12089 of 2017

=====

Ayodhya Paswan Son of Late Bagedan Paswan, Resident of Village-Bandhu Chapra, Police Station-Badahara, District-Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Bhojpur, Ara.
3. The Circle Officer, Badahara, Bhojpur.
4. Shiv Shankar Paswan, Son of Kishun Paswan,
5. Dharmendra Paswan, Son of Kishun Paswan.
6. Kamendra Paswan, Son of Kishun Paswan.
7. Dilip Paswan, Son of Jai Karan Paswan.
8. Dhananjay Paswan, Son of Jai Karan Paswan. Sl.No. 4 to 8 are Resident of Village-Vishunpur, Police Station-Barhara, District-Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Birendra Prasad Singh AC to Sc19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 23-06-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari for cancellation of Basgit Parcha issued on 11.3.17 in the name of Respondent No. 4 to 8 over the land of petitioner which he got through registered deed of gift by one Raj Narayan Vishwakarma and the name of donor had already been recorded in survey khatian and he had been paying the rent



regularly to State of Bihar but Anchal Amin in connivance of Respondent No. 4 to 8 submitted in case of report and Basgit Parcha has been issued over the land of petitioner without any notice or inspection. without any local.

(ii) For issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus seeking direction to Respondents to dispose of the representation which was filed by the petitioner before the Collector and which is pending before him.

(iii) For any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner for. is entitled for.

3. In this case, on 15.04.2024, two weeks' time was granted to the petitioner to file requisite for issuance of notice upon respondent nos. 4 to 8.

4. As the same was not complied, it stood dismissed on 29.04.2024.

5. Inadvertently and due to fault on part of the office, on 13.05.2024, it was recorded that the matter be listed after summer vacation.

6. As it already stands dismissed on 29.04.2024, nothing remains, perused the file, consigned.

7. The concerned official to submit show cause as to why instead of dismissing the matter pursuant to non-



compliance of order dated 15.04.2024, it was placed before the Court for hearing.

8. List this case on 07.07.2025 under the heading ‘To Be Mentioned’ for perusing the show cause.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

