

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6234 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2024 Thana- PIYAR District- Muzaffarpur

1. Ajay Ram Son of Late Rudal Ram Resident of Village- Mohanpur, P.S.-
Piyar, District- Muzaffarpur

2. Laxmi Devi Wife of Ajay Ram Resident of Village- Mohanpur, P.S.- Piyar,
District- Muzaffarpur

3. Vikash Kumar Son of Ajay Ram Resident of Village- Mohanpur, P.S.- Piyar,
District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Chandra Ojha, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection

with Piyar P.S. Case No. 140 of 2024, registered for the offence

punishable under Sections 126(2), 115, 85, 103(1), 3(5) of

B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the

daughter of the informant was married ten years back to one

Kanchan Ram. After marriage, she was harassed for dowry by

her husband and his family members. On 01.08.2024, she

informed her mother about being abused and receiving death



threats. The petitioners have beaten her on the incitement of her husband. On 02.08.2024, the villagers informed the informant that his daughter had been killed. Upon reaching her matrimonial house, her body was found and all family members had fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner no. 1 is the Bhainsur, petitioner no. 2 is the gotni and petitioner no. 3 is the jaut of the deceased and they were living separately from the deceased. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. From perusal of the records, it transpires that the informant and witnesses have supported the prosecution case and the witnesses have also said about harassment and dowry demand. There is clear allegation that on the incitement of the husband of the deceased, his family members including the petitioners have killed the deceased. The post-mortem report shows that the deceased died due to hanging. Considering the gravity of the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of



anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

