

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7484 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-248 Year-2024 Thana- RAMGARH District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Pankaj Singh Son of Late Sachida Nand Singh Resident of Village- Nonar,
P.S.- Ramgarh, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabhua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dharmendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Bhushan, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

6 07-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ramgarh P.S. Case No. 248 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, someone has committed murder of the deceased/nephew of the Informant, at the pump house of the deceased with bricks and *lathi*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case merely on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner has not



committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. The petitioner is the brother of the deceased and nephew of the Informant. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and his name has surfaced in this case in course of investigation. There is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case and, hence, there is no chance of absconding and tampering with the prosecution witnesses. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 23.07.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature. He further submits that the postmortem report shows the death caused by hard and blunt object and are antemortem in nature. Several witnesses have supported the prosecution case. From the Para-26 of the case diary, it appears that the wife of the petitioner has also supported the prosecution case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence as alleged against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner,



above named, is rejected at this stage with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously. If the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period of six months from today, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

