

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13466 of 2017

=====

Keshar Mahto son of Late Prasadi Mahto, resident of village-Dayali Bigha,
P.O-Keoti. PS-Barbigha, District-Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. National Highway Authority Of India, New Delhi.
2. Director, National Highways Authority of India, New Delhi
3. Project Director. National Highways Authority of India, Munger
4. Competent Authority-cum-District Land Acquisition Officer. Sheikhpura,
Bihar

. ... Respondent/s 1st party

5. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate. Sheikhpura, Bihar

Respondent 2nd Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kumar Malendu, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sajid Salim Khan -Sc25

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) directing the Respondents-authorities
to pay the amount of compensation to the
petitioner as per the Valuation of the
land/house as contained in report dated
21.07.2017 (Anx-3) on account of being
acquired for construction of National
Highways No. 82 (Biharshariff*



-Barbhiga-Mokamaha Section) as published in Extra Ordinary Gazette of India on 30.10.2015 and published in 'Prabhat Khabar', Patna Edition a Hindi daily on 13.05 2016 (Anx-1) of Mouza Keoti in the district Sheikhpura;

(ii) directing the Respondents to apply provisions of rehabilitation and resettlement as envisaged u/s 31 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (in short the Act 2013);

(iii) any other relief and reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled to.

3. The counter affidavits have come in the matter where it has been recorded that the land in question is stand as "Gairmazarua Garha".

4. The copy was served on 21.02.2023 to the learned counsel for the petitioner and there is no reply to it.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if he is allowed to approach the appropriate



authority.

6. Granting said liberty and without commenting on
the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

