

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12693 of 2017

=====

Ram Pravesh Rai S/o late Shiv Dayal Rai R/o Village- Kanna Mouza, P.O.-
Korihar, P.S. Raxaul, District. E. Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Land Acquisition, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Joint Secetary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Collector, Motihari.
7. The Director, Land Acquisition, Officer, Motihari.
8. The Union of India through the Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, through its Secretary, North Block, New Delhi.
9. The Secretary, Border Management Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, North Block, New Delhi
10. Commissioner, Tirhut Division.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mallika Mazumdar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha-SC-19
For the UOI	:	Mrs. Punam Kumari Singh, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2025 Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is allowed to implead the Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur as respondent no. 10 in course of the day.

2. Heard Mrs. Mallika Mazumdar, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel as also Mr. Awadhesh Kr. Pandey, Sr. CGC.



3. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“for mandamus on the Respondent authorities to make equal payment to all owners for the same quality of land acquired for the same purpose i.e. for construction of check post at Raxaul.”

4. It is grievance of the petitioner that the respondents have discriminated in making payment inasmuch as for the same set of lands, while the petitioner has been paid meager amount, the others have been paid the amount to the tune of Rs. 70,00,000/-. She has taken this Court to paragraph-11 to support her case.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mrs. Mazumdar submits that they are poor, have little knowledge of the basics of the law and since they are aggrieved, would like to approach the newly added respondent no. 10, Arbitrator-cum-Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur for the redressal of the grievance.

6. Learned State counsel as also learned counsel representing the Union of India submits that such avenue is/are always available to an aggrieved persons.



7. Taking into account the aforesaid facts and the limited prayer that Mrs. Mazumdar has made, the writ petition stands disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent who shall take up the matter and dispose it of in accordance with law.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

