

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15812 of 2017

=====

Arun Chaudhary S/o Sahdeo Chaudhary resident of Village - Pariya, P.S. -
Bairyarpur, District - Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary cum Commissioner, Revenue and Land Reforms Department,
Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Office of the Land Acquisition Directorate, Revenue and Land
Reforms Department, Biha
5. The Collector, Munger, District - Munger.
6. The District Development Officer, Munger.
7. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Munger.
8. The Special Land Acquisition Officer, Munger.
9. The Circle Officer, Bariarpur Block, District - Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam -Aag12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though
the State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of any appropriate writ or
writs, rule or direction, especially in the
nature of mandamus, directing the
Respondent concerned to enhance the
amount of award as Rs. 15.0 lakh in place*



of award amount which was prepared and paid to the petitioner i.e. Rs. 2,90,537/- through the cheque against the acquire land of the petitioner.

(ii) for issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to prepare and enhance the award of the petitioner as per the new Act 24(2) of the Land Acquisition Act as well as on the basis of direction issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court;

(iii) for issuance of any appropriate writ or writs, rule or direction as your lordships may deem fit and proper to the facts and circumstances of the case as well as for which the petitioner may be found entitled thereto.

3. A counter affidavit of the respondent nos. 5 to 7 and 9 is on record and the learned counsel has taken this Court to para-14 to show that the writ petitioner received compensation and six years later, the present writ petition has



been filed.

4. This counter affidavit was served to the learned counsel for the petitioner in the year 2018 and there is no reply to it.

5. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

