

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7103 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2024 Thana- DARPA District- East Champaran

Satyendra Mahto S/O Late Devanand Mahto R/O Village- Pipra Darpa, P.S-
Darpa, District- East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Darpa PS Case No. 199 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 274, 275 of B.N.S. and 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that total 15
litres of Nepali liquor was recovered from the house of the
petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
the present case. No incriminating material has been
recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner.



The petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery of liquor. It is submitted that recovery is made from the joint house of the petitioner where the other family members also reside. The petitioner is in custody since 12.12.2024 and has got four criminal antecedents in which he is on bail. There is no compliance of Section 103 of B.N.S.S.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Darpa PS Case No. 199 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date



fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

