

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7701 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-381 Year-2024 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

Arun Chaudhary @ Arun Chaudhari Son of Rajbali Chaudhary Resident of
vill- kuawa , P.S - Chakia , Dist - East champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prateek Tandon, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL
ORAL ORDER

3 08-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well

as the counsel appearing for the respondent-State.

2. It is the first regular bail application submitted by the petitioner who has been arrested in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 381 of 2024 for the alleged offences punishable under Section 303(2), 317(2) and 318(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. According to the case of prosecution, the informant filed a written complaint before the concerned police station on 10.11.2024. It is alleged that the present applicant demanded Rs. 40,000/- from the informant in lieu of that he will provide Rs. 3,00,000/- to her. Thereafter, it is further alleged that the present applicant gave a bag of



money to the informant and after checking it was found that there are bundles of fake currency notes inside. On the basis of such, report was made by the informant and the offences has been registered.

4. It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner herein is innocent and is falsely implicated in this case whereas, perusal of the FIR itself shows that a false and fabricated case has been made out against the petitioner. He further submit that there is no material available on record on the basis of which any alleged offence can be made out against the present applicant. The present applicant is in custody since 11.11.2024, trial will take some time. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State opposes the prayer of bail and submits that there are previous antecedents available against the petitioner and on that ground his prayer may be rejected.

6. Considering the submission made by the counsels and further considering the material available on record, without commenting on the other merits of the case,



I am of the view that the present applicant should be granted the benefit of bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 381 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties, to the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.

(Arvind Singh Chandel , J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

