

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6184 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-245 Year-2024 Thana- GOVINDGANJ District- East
Champaran

-
-
1. Bachani Devi W/o Asha Yadav R/o vill - Nawada Tola, P.S. - Govindganj, Distt.- East Champaran.
 2. Arjun Yadav S/o Asha Yadav R/o vill - Nawada Tola, P.S. - Govindganj, Distt.- East Champaran.
 3. Neelam Devi @ Nilam Devi W/o Arjun Yadav R/o vill - Nawada Tola, P.S. - Govindganj, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The accused/petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Govindganj P.S. Case No. 245 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B), 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against above named petitioners is to cause death of sister of informant alongwith other co-accused persons/family members due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, as raised for Rs. 5 lacs.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that all petitioners are in-laws, where petitioner no. 3 is married sister-in-law and living separately much



prior to the occurrence with husband of deceased. It is pointed out that petitioners came to know that the deceased died out of her ailment i.e. diarrhoea and in presence of her family members, her last rites was performed. It is also pointed out that the thrust of allegation is available against her husband, who is in judicial custody and, moreover, the informant compromised this matter with husband of the deceased and in such circumstances, no judicial purpose shall be served sending petitioner to judicial custody. Petitioners are said to be a clean antecedents.

5. Learned APP while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that petitioners being in-laws actively participated in occurrence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and by taking note of fact as petitioners are in-laws, who claims to live separately, much prior to the occurrence with deceased and her husband and facing general and omnibus allegation, where thrust of allegation is available against the husband of deceased, accordingly, all above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court, within a period of four weeks of the order, are directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at
Motihari/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection
with Govindganj P.S. Case No. 245 of 2024, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 438(2)/of the
Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

