

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6118 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2024 Thana- RISIYAP District- Aurangabad

Indrajeet Paswan @ Indrajeet Kumar Paswan Son of Ram Umesh Ram @
Umesh Ram @ Umesh Paswan Resident of Village - Khaira, Harnath Tole,
Dusadh Bigha, P.S. - Risiyap, District - Aurangabad, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhat Kumar Sharan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar, A.P.P.
Mrs. Mukul Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 13-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Risiyap P.S. Case No. 86 of 2024, registered for
the offences under Sections 80(2)/3(1) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the daughter of the
informant was married with petitioner on 12.06.2023 and on
18.07.2024, the informant was informed about serious nature of
illness of his daughter. When the informant and others reached
her matrimonial home, she was found dead and the informant
showed his suspicion that the petitioner and other co-accused
persons killed his daughter for dowry.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. No demand of dowry was ever made and from the FIR and it is apparent that vague, general and omnibus allegations have been levelled against the petitioners and others. Learned counsel further submits that daughter of the informant committed suicide by hanging herself and the petitioner himself informed the informant about the incident. If the petitioner was guilty, he would have fled away from his house. Learned counsel further submits that during investigation the police has recorded the confessional statement of this petitioner, but even in the said statement petitioner stated that meal was prepared by the deceased and after taking the meal, he went to temple and when he returned he found the body of his wife hanging and thereafter it was taken down and the parents of the deceased were informed. The petitioner and his mother were arrested on the same date i.e., 19.07.2024 after institution of the FIR. The petitioner has clean antecedent and charge sheet has been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently oppose the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant submits that all the witnesses have supported the



prosecution case about petitioner and the others causing dowry death in about a year of her marriage.

6. Having regard to the fact and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the doubtful and non-specific nature of allegation against the petitioner and further considering period of custody and submission of charge sheet, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Aurangabad/concerned court, in connection with Risiyap P.S. Case No. 86 of 2024, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the



petitioner will be liable to be cancelled
by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Anuradha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

