

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5616 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2024 Thana- SRINAGAR District- West Champaran

Sadhu Yadav S/O Thag Yadav R/O Village - Baijua, P.S-Srinagar, District-
west Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raki Alam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2025 Heard Mr.Raki Alam, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Srinagar P.S.Case No.147 of 2024,FIR dated
25.10.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 180 liters of illegal liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The
allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and fabricated and the
petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR.
It appears from the FIR as well as seizure list that nothing has
been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner



rather the recovery has been made from the sugarcane field of Sipahi Yadav and name of the petitioner has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the disclosure made by the local Chaukidar and he stated that the petitioner was fled away from the place of occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C./Section 103 of BNSS, 2023. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioners under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. Further submits that as per allegation in the FIR that the petitioner has fled away from the place of occurrence and apart from that, the petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in both the cases, as mentioned in para-3 of the anticipatory bail petition.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. Having regard to the law laid



down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of Counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired during investigation on the basis of the disclosure made by the local Chaukidar, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000 (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge Excise-II, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Srinagar P.S.Case No.147 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/ Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient



reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his/her criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

