

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10074 of 2016

=====

Yogendra Pandit Son of Late Gokhula Pandit, Resident of Village Banjari,
P.O. and Police Station- Gopalganj, District Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.
3. The Manager Estate cum Addl. Secretary Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Division-2, Bhootnath Road, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.
5. The Assistant Engineer, Division-2, Bhootnath Road, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prafull Chandra Jha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Satya Ranjan Sinha, Advocate
	:	Ms. Seema Kumari, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kundan Bahadur Singh, SC-22
For the State	:	Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10
For Housing Board	:	Mr. Suman Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For Intervenor	:	Dr. Kumar Amitesh Chandra, Advocate
	:	Mr. Surendra Ram, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

8 24-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the Bihar State Housing Board, learned counsel for the Respondent-State and learned counsel for the proposed Intervenor.

2. With the consent of all the parties, this writ application is being disposed of at this stage itself.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has approached this Court for issuance of writ of mandamus without filing a representation before the Managing Director,



Bihar State Housing Board, Patna (Respondent No. 2). He further submits that he is prepared to file a detailed representation before the Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna (Respondent No. 2) for seeking the reliefs as claimed for by him in the present writ application. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that appropriate direction may kindly be issued to the Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna (Respondent No. 2) to dispose of his representation on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned, by passing a reasoned and speaking order, within a fixed time frame.

4. To this prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsels for the Respondents do not have any objection.

5. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna (Respondent No. 2) for seeking the same relief which the petitioner has claimed in the present writ application. This representation must be filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today. In case such a representation is



filed by the petitioner, the same shall be heard and decided on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as to Mr. Surendra Ram (Proposed Intervenor in this case) and other parties concerned, if deemed necessary. The representation shall be disposed of by the Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna (Respondent No. 2) within a period of four months from the date of filing of the representation by the petitioner and the final order that shall be passed should be a reasoned and speaking order.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the present writ application stands disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner, as aforesaid. All pending Interlocutory Applications if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

