

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6347 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2018 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

1. Gauri Shankar Sah @ Gauri Sah Son of Late Lakhan Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
2. Sikandar Sah @ Sikindra Sah Son of Shiv Shankar Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
3. Ajay Sah Son of Gauri Sah @ Gauri Shankar Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
4. Wakil Sah Son of Batishi Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
5. Anil Sah Son of Tengar Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
6. Sunil Sah Son of Tengar Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
7. Shiv Shankar Sah Son of Late Lakhan Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari
8. Shiv Sah Son of Rama Sah Resident of village -Saraiya Gopal, PS- Patahi, District- East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 07-03-2025 Heard Mr. Karandeep Kumar, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Arun Kumar,
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the APP.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Patahi P.S. Case No. 117 of 2018, G.R. No.3656 of 2018
registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 143, 341,



323, 324, 308 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. In view of the observation made in the Impugned Order dated 11.11.2024, the petitioners have been found absconding and in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***G. R. Ananda Babu vs. State of Tamil Nadu and Anr.*** reported in ***2021 SCC On Line S.C. 176***, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The petitioners, if so advised, may surrender before the learned District Court and seek regular bail. In that case, the learned District Court is directed to consider their bail application on the same day and pass necessary order on the basis of material, which has come in course of investigation without delay.

5. Accordingly, the present pre-arrest bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J.)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

