

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.287 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15664 of 2017

=====

Shiv Prasad Rikiyasan Late Ram Khelwan Rikiyasan Village-Mansara,P.S.
Tandwa,Dist.-Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors through the Chief Secretary,Old Secretariat,Bihar,Patna Namely sri Deepak Kumar
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna,Sri R.K.Mahajan
3. The Director, Jan Shiksha, Bihar, Patna namely Sri Binodanand Jha
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya namely T.Bindeshwari
5. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad. Namely Sri Rajiv Ranjan Mahiwal
6. The District Education Officer,Aurangabad namely Md. Alim
7. The District Programme Officer, Literacy, Aurangabad. namely Sri Ajay Jaiswal
8. The District Programme Officer, Establishment, Aurangabad. namely Sri Jawahar Prasad
9. The Block Education Officer, Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad namely Sri Subhash Sah
10. The Head Master, Rajkiya Urdu Middle School,Mansara Gram Panchayat-Basdiha,Dist.-Aurangabad Namely Sri Saulendra Singh

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shilpa Singh (GA-12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of the order dated 22.02.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 15664 of 2017, wherein, this Court had passed the following order:



“Let the District Programme Officer (Establishment) examine the case of these petitioners with regard to payment of honorarium and they must take decision after due verification of the records. If the records are not available with them, they may call for the records from the Bihar State Education Council. All the process should be completed within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of copy of the order.”

3. Opposite Party Nos. 6 to 9 have filed a show cause, wherein, in paragraph nos. 6 & 7, it has been stated as under:

“6. That it is humbly submitted that in compliance with the order of this Hon’ble Court dated 22.02.2018, the available office records were verified, it is known that no work has been done by the petitioner as claimed and even none of the documents have been submitted before the respondent authorities in support of his claim by the petitioner.

7. That it is humbly and respectfully submitted that in such a situation payment of honorarium of desired period without valid proof is



difficult or financial indiscipline, however in case of submission of any documents in support of his claim in future, action will be taken in accordance with the order of this Hon'ble Court."

4. On perusal of paragraph nos. 6 & 7 of the show cause filed by the O.P. Nos. 6 to 9, I find that the order dated 22.02.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 15664 of 2017 has been complied with by the respondents.

5. Accordingly, the contempt petition stands closed and disposed of.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Nilmani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

