

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11910 of 2017

=====

Ranjeet Raman Rai S/o Late Ram Jeevan Rai Resident of Village - Bari
Pahari, P.S. - Agamkuan, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Director, Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam -Aag12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the

State is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“to issue a writ in the nature of
MANDAMUS/ CERTIORARI or any other writ or
direction commanding the respondents to pay the
compensation of acquired land following the
provisions contained in section 24 to 30, 40, 69, 80
& 96 of Right to Fair Compensation and
Transparency In Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation
and Resettlement Act, 30 of 2013 [Here in after*



mentioned as "The Act"], Further the petitioners prays for direction to respondents to return / refund the total amount to the tune of Rs. 65743/- deducted as Income Tax contrary to the provision contained in section 96 of "The Act" as well as letter / circular no. 36/2016 dated 25.10.2016 [Ann-10], Further the Petitioner prays for direction to respondents to enumerate the market value of land as on the date of implementation of "the Act" i.e.1.1.2014 as mentioned in The Act as well as under letter dated 26.10.2015 & 4.12.2015 [Ann-5 & 6], Further prayer to pay the additional compensation of 75% as per section 40 (5) of the Act as well as letter dated 7.12.2016 [Ann-8] because the land has been acquired under emergent provisions. Further the Petitioner prays for direction to pay the amount @12% per annum as contained in section 30 (3) of the Act, Further prayer for direction to respondents to pay the interest u/s 80 of the Act and be further pleased to issue any further direction/directions to the respondents in view of the facts and circumstances



of this case.”

3. A counter-affidavit of the respondent nos. 3 and 4 is on record after service of copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner on 11.09.2018 and learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraphs 8 to 10 to show that the compensation amount has already been paid to the petitioner.

4. She as such submits that the grievance stands redressed.

5. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the present writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

