

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7665 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2023 Thana- AURANGABAD COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Aurangabad

=====

Munna Thakur S/o- Awadhesh Thakur Resident of Village- Mahawar, P.S.-  
Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Manita Kumari D/o- Kameshwar Thakur Village- Tetrahi Ps- Haspura Dist-  
Aurangabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha  
Mr. Binod Kumar Pandey  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammad Sufyan

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA**  
ORAL ORDER

3      13-05-2025      1. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with  
  
Aurangabad Complaint Case No. 80 of 2023 dated 14.03.2023  
  
registered under Section 498(A), 379, 420 of the I.P.C.

2. The prosecution case is that marriage of the complainant  
was solemnized on 08.06.2022 with the petitioner in accordance  
with Hindu rites and rituals in which 8 decimal land and  
Rs. 100000/- was given as gift but soon after marriage the  
accused persons started demanding bike and due to non  
fulfillment of the same the complainant was tortured physically  
and mentally. On the fateful day of 20.10.2022 the accused  
persons ousted the complainant from her matrimonial home.

3. Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, learned counsel for the petitioner



submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. In paragraph no. 7 of the bail application it has categorically been stated that the complainant did not want to marry with the petitioner but her family members forcibly solemnized the marriage as such she is reluctant to reside in her matrimonial home. The marriage was solemnized in a temple without any dowry and the allegation of torturing and demanding dowry is absolutely false and concocted. In paragraph no. 9 of the bail petition it has been stated that the petitioner is ready to settle the matter with the complainant in accordance with her desire.

4. No one appears on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 despite service of notice.

5. Regard being had to the submission made by the parties, taking into consideration the nature of allegation and the fact that there is non appearance on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 despite service of notice, as such, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with



two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of  
learned S.D.J.M., Daudnagar in connection with Aurangabad  
Complaint Case No. 80 / 2023 subject to the condition as laid  
down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S. 2023.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

