

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.355 of 2024

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15248 of 2022

=====

Bajendra Kumar Dwivedi Son of Late Lalbabu Dwivedi @ Lalbabu Dubey,
Resident of Village Bathwa Bazar, Anchal Panchdeori, P.S. Kateya, District
Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Sri Brijesh Mehrotra, the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms
Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Nawal Kishore Choudhary, The District Magistrate cum Collector,
Gopalganj.
3. Sri Ashish Kumar Sinha, the Additional Collector, Gopalganj.
4. Md. Sohaib Akhtar, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Hathwa, District-
Gopalganj.
5. Sri Rakesh Kumar, the Sub Divisional Officer, Hathwa, District-Gopalganj.
6. Sri Aditya Shankar, the Circle Officer, Panchdeori, District-Gopalganj.
7. Sri Shubham Yadav, the Anchal Amin, Panchdeori, District-Gopalganj.
8. Panchdeo Dubey, son of Late Dwarika Dubey, Resident of Village Bathwa
Bazar, Anchal Panchdeori, P.S. Kateya, District Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Standing Counsel 19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 28-11-2025 At the outset, the learned counsel for the opposite

parties submits that as far as Khesra No. 607 is concerned, the

settlement of the same is existing in favour of the father of the

petitioner, hence the government authorities, under the

provisions contained in the Bihar Public Land Encroachment

Act, 1956 are not entitled to remove the encroachment existing

over private Raiyati land.



2. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised a new grievance to the effect that though the settlement of Khesra No. 607 is existing in favour of the father of the petitioner, however illegally proposal is being mooted for cancellation of the settlement in question, hence the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the concerned authority for raising grievance, in accordance with law.

3. Liberty, so sought, is granted.
4. Accordingly, present petition stands disposed of.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

GAURAV S./-

U			
---	--	--	--

