

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6609 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-59 Year-2024 Thana- ALOULI District- Khagaria

Uday Yadav Son of Ramdev Yadav Resident of Vill- Satghatta, P.S.- Alauli,
District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Ms. Asha Devi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-03-2025 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 363, 365 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, this petitioner, along with other accused persons, kidnapped son of informant and forced him to solemnize marry with daughter of co-accused *Bablu Yadav*.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to village politics. The present F.I.R. has been lodged after delay of two days and there is no plausible explanation for the same. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.



5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific and direct accusation that he, along with other accused persons, kidnapped son of informant and forced him to marry with daughter of co-accused Bablu Yadav. It is further submitted that the victim in his statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has supported the prosecution case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, specific and direct nature of accusation and statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

