

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13883 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2024 Thana- Tetiyabumber District- Munger

Tuni Yadav @ Tuni Kumar Yadav @ Ranjan Kumar Yadav S/o Uday Yadav  
R/o Village- Harpur, P.S.- Bangama, District- Munger

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ramchandra Sahni

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      12-05-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 323, 324, 307, 332, 333, 337,  
338, 427, 224, 188 and 186 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner has antecedent of one case. It is next submitted  
that case of the petitioner is akin to the case of Alok Yadav, who  
had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr.  
Misc. No.7914 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an  
order dated 06.03.2025, thus based on parity the learned counsel  
seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail



application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and also taking into account the order dated 06.03.2025 in Cr. Misc. No.7914 of 2025, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge-2nd, Munger in connection with Tetiya Bamber P. S. Case No.14 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. The application stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found



that petitioner has antecedent of only one case, in that event, the  
provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

