

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4272 of 2025

-
1. Ravi Ranjan Son of-Tej Narayan Bhagat, Resident of Village-Hari Bela, P.S.-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi, at present residing at Ward No. 20, Mela Road, Rohiya Nagar, Bhavdepur, P.S. and District Sitamarhi.
 2. Shashi Ranjan Son of-Tej Narayan Bhagat Resident of Village-Hari Bela, P.S.-Bathnaha, District-Sitamarhi, at present residing at Ward No. 20, Mela Road, Rohiya Nagar, Bhavdepur, P.S. and District Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector Cum- District Magistrate, Sitamarhi
2. The Additional Collector Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.
3. The Registrar, Sitamarhi, District Sitamarhi.
4. The Deputy Registrar, Bhutahi, District - Sitamarhi.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sitamarhi, District-Sitamarhi.
6. The Circle officer, Bathnaha, District - Sitamarhi.
7. The District Manager, BSFC, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Birendra Kumar
For the Respondent/s	:	AC to GP-19
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh
		Mrs. Shilpi Singh
		Mr. Aayush Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 26-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
AC to GP-19 and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
BSFC.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners submits that the instant writ application has been
filed for a direction upon the authorities to grant permission for
transferring the purchased land of the petitioners bearing Khata



No. 27, Khesra Nos. 2053, 2074, Area 27 decimal, Mouza-Parasa Mahind, P.S- Sonbarsa, Thana No. 169, Tauzi No. 5120 in the name of the purchaser on the ground that son of the petitioner no. 1 was selected in medical examination and for his admission fee, money is required, as such, the petitioners sold the land to the purchaser on account of personal necessity and further to direct the Deputy Registrar, Bhutahi to register the land in question in favour of the purchaser to whom the petitioners have sold the aforesaid land.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that initially the land was put in *Rok Suchi* (restricted list), but after verification, it came to the notice of the authorities that the land was wrongly included in the *Rok Suchi*, hence the Sub-Registrar, Bhutahi has been intimated about the said decision vide Memo No. 704 dated 11.04.2025 (Annexure R/G to the counter affidavit), as such it is submitted that the grievance of the petitioners stands redressed, as there is no hurdle in getting the land sold by the petitioners registered.

4. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the grievance of the petitioners stands redressed, in view of the stand taken by the authorities in the counter affidavit, the petitioners seek permission to withdraw



the writ application.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

