

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8926 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-319 Year-2024 Thana- AMAS District- Gaya

=====

Mukesh Singh @ Mukesh Kumar Singh Son of Vinay Singh @ Sachidanand
Singh Resident of Village- Simri, P.S.- Amas, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate
		Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Amas
P.S. Case No. 319 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 103(1), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner
along with other co-accused person has committed murder of
informant's husband and threw the dead body in a well.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. The informant is not an eye witness of the said occurrence. It is further submitted that postmortem report of the deceased does not corroborate the allegation as has been levelled against the petitioner and on perusal of the postmortem report, it appears that no external mechanical injuries were present over the body and cause of death has been opined as asphyxia and shock due to drowning. Thus, the allegation of committing murder and throwing the dead body in well is false and fabricated. It is next submitted that the petitioner was arrested on the basis of suspicion. The petitioner is in custody since 19.09.2024 and has got five criminal antecedents in which he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Amas P.S. Case No.
319 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

