

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11709 of 2017

1. Bibi Majeda and Anr wife of Md. Murshid Alam
2. Md. Shamsheer Ali son of Md. Dukhan Both are resident of Village - Dabru Tola, Ward No. 05, P.S. - Chausa, District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Udakishunganj, District - Madhepura.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Udakishunganj, District - Madhepura.
4. The Anchaladhikari, Anchal - Chausa, District - Madhepura.
5. The Anchal Amin, Anchal - Chausa, District - Madhepura.
6. Md. Saddam son of Md. Nazir
7. Md. Ketabul son of Md. Nazam
8. Md. Naushad son of Md. Shamshu
9. Md. Shahid son of Iliyas
10. Md. Munna son of Md. Tajmul
11. Md. Jubair son of Md. Nazir
12. Md. Jahani son of Md. Quddus
13. Md. Siraj son of Md. Ghaghia All resident of Village and P.S. - Chausa, District - Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisuzzoha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-07-2025 Heard Mr. Nafisuzzoha, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, learned GP-14.

2. The instant petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):-



“a) To issue appropriate writ / order / direction in the nature of Mandamus for commanding and directing the respondent No. 4 and 5, the Anchaladhikari, Anchal-Chausa as well as Anchal Amin, Anchal-Chausa, District-Madhepura, for recovery of possession of the land in the light of order dated 09.09.2015 passed by the Anchal Amin, Anchal-Chausa in Demarcation (Mapi Vaad) Case No. 16/2014-15, which is pending since long for execution.

b) To issue appropriate writ/order/directions in the nature of Mandamus, for commanding and directing the respondent authorities to give recovery of dispossess land of petitioners, having illegally occupied by the private respondents, upon which the petitioners gave applications.

c) To issue any other appropriate writ or writs under the facts and circumstance of the case, in favour of the petitioner.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they shall be approaching the appropriate authority/competent Court for the redressal of their respective grievance.



4. Granting such liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of. Pending I.A.(s), if any, shall also disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

