

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4189 of 2018

=====

Dinbandhu Kumar @ Dinbandhu Kumar Sharma Son of Late Shashi Nath
Sharma, Resident of Village-Taret, P.S.-Naubatpur, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Patna.
2. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Danapur, Patna.
3. The Block Development Officer, Naubatpur, Police Station-Naubatpur District-Patna.
4. The Circle Officer, Naubatpur, District-Patna.
5. The Officer-in-Charge, Naubatpur, Patna.
6. M/s Ram Chandra Rao, Transmission Project Pvt. Ltd. Hyderabad, Local Office-Gaurichak, Bihta Within the District of Patna, Local Office-Khajpura, Ashiyana, Patna 4th Floor, Flat No.- 405 Apartment, Madanpur.
7. Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Dronacharya, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad -Gp14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

6 17-03-2025 In the present writ petition petitioner has prayed for
following reliefs:-

“(i) The order dated 23/1/18
passed by learned Collector-cum-District
Magistrate in Misc. Case No. 01/17-18 be
quashed.

(ii) The petitioner be granted
adequate compensation or annual rent or
both as the case may be for the lands in
question of the petitioner over which
Transmission Tower is being erected.

(iii) The petitioner also prays that
if possible the Transmission tower going to
be erected be diverted/ shifted to any
adjoining land, since the land of the



petitioner is a homestead land.

(iv) Petitioner be paid the cost of legal proceeding throughout.

(v) Petitioner be granted any other relief/s permissible under the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this case may be disposed with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate application before the competent authority/ Court for redressal of his grievance.

3. Learned counsel for the State has no objection to this prayer of the petitioner.

4. In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, this case is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

rakhi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

