

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7469 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-83 Year-2024 Thana- KAJRA District- Lakhisarai

Lalan Singh @ Lala @ Lalan Kumar Singh S/o Kapildev Singh R/o  
Pokhrama, Pokhra Wan, P.S.- Kajra, District- Lakhisarai, Bihar- 811309

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                   |
|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Nilanjan Chatterjee, Advocate |
|                          | : | Mr. Ujjwal Raj, Advocate          |
|                          | : | Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate         |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, APP      |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Shashi Saurabh, Advocate      |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      08-05-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kajra  
P.S. Case No. 83 of 2024 instituted for the offences under  
Sections 103(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, on the alleged  
date and time, the son of the informant went missing and the  
next day, his dead body was found in her orchard. Informant  
suspects that the accused persons committed the murder of her  
son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the  
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Deepak Kumar. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that there is previous dispute between the parties. Learned counsel further submitted that except confessional statement, there is no material against this petitioner to prove his involvement in the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel further submitted that even if taking the confessional statement of the co-accused Deepak Kumar to be true, the same is not supported by the post-mortem report as the said injuries are not reflected in the same which creates doubt over the story of the prosecution. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.09.2024 and has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that as per paragraph no. 79 of the case diary, the co-accused Deepak Kumar has confessed his guilt and specifically narrated the manner in which he along with other accused persons including this petitioner committed the murder of the deceased and at



paragraph no. 80 of the case diary, this petitioner has also confessed his guilt and these confessional statements are further substantiated by the post-mortem report of the deceased and, therefore, the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being ample material against this petitioner supported by the medical evidence as also the nature and gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Alok Verma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

