

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2328 of 2019

=====

Krishhandeo Prasad Yadav, son of Kaushaer Yadav, resident of village-
Khakhai Gram, Ward No. 2, P.O- Kadampura, P.S- Kishanpur, District-
Supaul (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Labour Employment and Training
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa
3. District Magistrate, Saharsa
4. Deputy Collector, Establishment, Saharsa

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Mukund, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar (GP-24)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 17-02-2025

Heard learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned Advocate for the State.

2. The petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this
Court seeking a direction upon the respondents to consider and
appoint the petitioner on Class-IV post by including his name in
the recently prepared list of the year 2018 wherein the name of
the petitioner, to his knowledge, did not find place.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner contended
that the persons junior to the petitioner, who has been placed at
serial no.177 in 2014 panel, finalized in March, 2017, was duly
considered ignoring the claim of the petitioner. Placing reliance
upon an order of this Court passed in M.J.C. No. 2778 of 2017 it
has contended that once a person was duly considered for



appointment under any advertisement by no subsequent Rule or Resolution of the Government, they can be ousted from the zone of consideration.

4. Learned Advocate for the State contended that the life of a panel is only one year and once new panel is prepared, the earlier panel lost its efficacy, unless directed otherwise. Moreover, for the purpose of selection and appointment on Class-IV posts, new rules have also been notified.

5. Considering the nature of the grievance, based upon the aforesaid facts, this Court does not find any merit in the present writ petition. However, if the case of the petitioner is confined that the persons, junior to the petitioner in the panel has been accorded appointment, the petitioner shall be at liberty to place his case before the authority concerned along with the supporting documents.

6. The writ petition stands disposed off with the liberty aforesaid.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	24.02.2025
Transmission Date	NA

