

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9781 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2024 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Vikki Soni S/o Satyendra Soni @ Munna Soni R/o Mohalla- Noorganj, P.S.
and P.O.- Sasaram (Town), District-Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dilip Kr S/O- Late Motichand Sahu r/o mohalla- Noorganj, po-sasaram ps-
Sasaram, rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Adv. Ms. Kumari Anupam, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 30-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. In spite of valid service of notice, no one has

appeared on behalf of the O.P. No.2.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Sasaram Town P.S. Case No. 62 of 2024 instituted for the

offences under Section 366-A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the petitioner has enticed

and kidnapped the informant's minor daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged

against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the



petitioner and the victim girl were in love and the victim girl was repeatedly pressurizing the petitioner for fleeing away from home but, the petitioner was denying for the same. From perusal of the statement of the victim girl, it appears that the victim girl had gone voluntarily with the petitioner without any pressure or threats. It also appears that the victim girl has voluntarily gone with the accused and solemnized marriage in a temple at Bhabua without any coercion on the part of the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 26.09.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature. The informant and the witnesses have supported the prosecution case and there is a direct and specific allegation against the petitioner. The Investigating Officer, after completion of investigation, has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner for offence under Sections 366(A)/376/34 of the I.P.C. and Section 4/6 of the POCSO Act. It is further submitted that the consent of the minor girl has no meaning in the eye of law and, hence, the petitioner does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



taking into account the nature and gravity of the offence as alleged against the petitioner as also the materials available in the case diary, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

