

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4910 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-204 Year-2024 Thana- RAMNAGAR District- West Champaran

Sharun Sharma S/o Late Ram Niwas Sharma R/o Village- Meghawal Mathia,
P.S.- Ram Nagar, Distt.- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Perna Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 28-02-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ram Nagar P.S. Case No. 204 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that his daughter was married to the petitioner in the year 2023, after few months of marriage, all the accused persons including the petitioner started abusing her for dowry as detailed in the FIR and also assaulted her when the demand was not met, further on 14.05.2024, the informant came to know that her daughter had been killed, accordingly, he along with his family members came to the matrimonial home of his daughter when he came to know that the victim was already cremated. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 25.06.2024.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case being the husband. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that the entire allegation hinges around suspicion and informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the deceased died within an year of marriage. It is also submitted that the conduct of the petitioner and the accused persons in cremating the body before arrival of the informant also casts an aspersion on their conduct. It is next submitted that had the petitioner not been involved in the occurrence in that event the petitioner would have waited for the informant and the family members to arrive, but since the body was cremated, it becomes manifestly clear that efforts were made to conceal the evidence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

