

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7920 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-409 Year-2023 Thana- DINARA District- Rohtas

Sonu Kumar, aged about 22 years, Male Son of Bashisth Yadav, Resident of Village- Mahrod, P.S.-Natwar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. Earlier the prayer for bail of this petitioner was rejected by this Court passed in Cr. Misc. No. 82742 of 2023 vide order dated 18.03.2024.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Dinara P.S. Case No. 409 of 2023 instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 20(b) (ii)(c), 22, 25, 27(a) and 29 of the NDPS Act.

4. As per the FIR, the allegation against the petitioner is that on 21.08.2023 at about 16.30 '0' clock the informant got secret information that Ganja is coming to Dinara by a red colour Honda City Vehicle from Sasaram. On



the said information, the informant constitute a raiding party and reached at the place of occurrence. Then, the police team saw a Honda City vehicle is coming from Baraon Mor towards Dinara and indicated to stop the said vehicle but the accused persons including the petitioner have stopped the said vehicle on some distance and started to flee away. On chase, he caught hold. On search 30.26 kg. Ganja was recovered from the said car and also total cash of Rs. 1,99,500/-.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner has got clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the petition is in custody since 22.08.2023.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail.

7. From perusal of the FIR and also perused the impugned order dated 10.10.2023 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Rohtas at Sasaram, it appears that there is huge quantity of ganja recovered from the car and the quantity is commercial quantity and there is no fresh ground to reconsider the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am



not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. Prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby
rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Anand Kr.

U		T	
---	--	---	--

