

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7784 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2022 Thana- PATNA CITY CHOWK District- Patna

Ranjit Kumar @ Belchi Son of Late Shyambabu Rai @ Shyam Babu village-
Patharghat, Marifganj, Ps- Malsalami, Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. S.K. Lal, Adv
	:	Mr. Raj Bansh Dubey, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Navin Kr. Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

6 23-07-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with

Chowk P.S. Case No. 149 of 2022 registered for the offences

under Sections 302, 120(b), 386, 201 and 34 of the IPC and

Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in

custody since 07.01.2023.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is to commit

murder of owner/proprietor of M/s. Shakambari Trading

Company, where informant was working as manager.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that petitioner is in custody since 07.01.2023 in connection with the present case and till now no charge appears framed against him. It is submitted that speedy trial is a fundamental right and petitioner cannot be kept behind the bars for indefinite period of time in want of trial. It is submitted that implication of petitioner appears out of suspicion arising out of criminal antecedents of petitioner as he found involved in five more criminal cases, where he is on bail. While concluding the argument it is submitted that investigation has been completed, for which, charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence.

6. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, while opposing the prayer for bail submitted that informant is the eye-witness of the occurrence, where specific allegation to cause fatal firearm injury is available against this petitioner. It is also pointed out that petitioner found involved in five more cases of heinous nature, including two murder cases. However, he could not dispute the right available to petitioner regarding speedy trial as submitted aforesaid.



7. Considering the aforesaid submission as specific allegation to cause fatal firearm injury is available against petitioner, where informant is the eye-witness of the occurrence, coupled with fact petitioner is accused of five (5) heinous offences as discussed aforesaid, accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected herewith for the present.

8. However, considering the custody of petitioner, learned Trial Court is directed to conclude the trial, preferably, within a period of 09 (nine) months from the date of receipt of this order.

9. Let copy of this order be sent to SSP, Patna to ensure the presence of charge-sheeted witnesses, as and when directed by the learned Trial Court, so as to conclude the trial within aforesaid time period, as directed above.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

