

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2065 of 2025

=====

Amanullah Khan Son of Late Mohiuddin Khan Resident of Village- Chorma,
P.S.- Pakridayal, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Musaffarpur, Zone Muzaffarpur.
4. The Deputy Inspector General, East Champaran, Range, Bettiah.
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari.
6. The Director, General Provident Fund, Bihar Patna.
7. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Md. Anisur Rahman, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Kumar Pankaj, AC to SC-5
For respondent No.7	:	Mrs. Nivedita Nirvikar, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Amarshakti, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Accountant
General, Bihar.

2. The petitioner has prayed for the following
relief/s :-

*"(A) For issuance of appropriate writ/order
or direction commanding the respondents to grant
benefits of ACP and MACP to the petitioner who
retired on 01.02.2019 from the post of ASI of Police*



from the District East Champaran.

(B) For issuance of appropriate writ/order direction or commanding the respondents to make payment of above mentioned monetary benefits of MACP with 18 % interest to the petitioner as delay has been caused by the respondents.

(C) For any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled in the opinion of this Hon'ble Court."

3. It is jointly submitted by learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Accountant General that the Bihar Government Servant Grievance Redressal Rules, 2019 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Rules of 2019'), framed by the General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, provide the methodology for addressing grievances of the petitioner. According to these rules, upon the filing of a complaint, the Service Grievance Redressal Officer is required to pass an order within a fixed time limit, after providing the complainant with a reasonable opportunity to be heard. The petitioner must first avail the remedy before the Service Grievance Redressal Officer to address the grievances raised in the present Writ Petition.

4. In this background, the Writ Petition stands disposed off directing the petitioner to avail remedy under the



Rules of 2019 for the payment of his ACP/MACP. The Service Grievance Redressal Officer is directed to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with Rules of 2019.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

