

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8489 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2024 Thana- Sonki District- Darbhanga

=====

Fulo Chaupal @ Phulo Chaupal @ Badri S/o- Shyam Chaupal R/o - Chiknee,
P.S - Sonki, District - Darbhanga, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 09-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sonaki P.S. Case No. 62 of 2024 dated 08.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 310(4), 310(5), 317(5) and 111 of the B.N.S. and Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on secret information, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have assembled to commit crime. On the said information, a raid was conducted. On seeing police, the accused persons managed to escape but two persons were apprehended by police. They disclosed their names as Mithun Kumar and Sumit Kumar and



also disclosed the name of the co-accused persons as Arun Yadav and Vikas Sahni who fled away from the place of occurrence. On search, one mobile phone was recovered from the possession of the petitioner and one country-made pistol was recovered from the house of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has surfaced on the disclosure made by the co-accused, Mithun Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 18.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 7903/2025. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 08.09.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Darbhanga in connection with Sonki P.S. Case No. 62 of 2024, with the condition:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

