

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5008 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2024 Thana- BANGAWON District- Saharsa

Sagar Jha S/O Santosh Jha R/O Bangaon, Ward No.- 07, P.S.- Bangaon, Dist.-  
Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Choubey Jawahar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      19-03-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Bangaon P.S. Case No. 23 of 2024 dated 14.03.2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 302 and 201 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant got a secret information that a dead body of a person was lying on the road side of the house of Dholan Jha. The dead body was identified as Chintu Kumar (deceased). The petitioner Sagar Jha brutally killed his full brother by assaulting and



strangling him with electric wire and disappeared the dead body of the deceased.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has transpired in this case during the course of investigation. It is further submitted that there is no eyewitness to the alleged occurrence. No incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has five criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 15.03.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that on account of property dispute, the petitioner Sagar Jha killed his full brother. The cause of death is due to strangulation.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the specific and heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner , I am not



inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. The application stands rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within a period of one year.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

guddukr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

