

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9276 of 2017

-
-
1. Gena Rai and Anr Son of late Mahanth Rai, Resident of Village-Sarai Parauli, Police Station and Anchal Bhagwanpur Hat, District Siwan.
 2. Saroj Ram Son of late Duran Ram Resident of Village-Sarai Parauli, Police Station and Anchal Bhagwanpur Hat, District Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Maharajganj, District Siwan.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Maharajganj, District Siwan.
5. The Circle Officer, Bhagwanpur Hat, District-Siwan.
6. The Electrical Executive Engineer, North Bihar Power Distribution and Holding Company, Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravindra Nath Dubey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Subhash Chandra Yadav-GP-15
		Mr. Prakash Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 21-04-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“for issuance of writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for quashing the letter dated 15.4.2017 issued under the signature of Respondent No. 5 by which he, without taking any step for enquiry, sent the report to the Respondent No. 4 in a very casual manner and further for quashing the order of rejection of the application filed by the petitioner on 07.05.2017 issued under the signature of Respondent No. 4 by which the Respondent No. 4



rejected the application of the petitioners on the basis of the report dated 15.4.2017 in a very casual manner and further direct the respondents to consider the report of the Amin dated 24.4.2017 and after hearing the petitioners pass appropriate order directing the respondents not to disturb the petitioners from their possession of the land and not to make any further construction on the land during the pendency of the writ application and further for any other relief/reliefs as the petitioners are found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that he shall be approaching the appropriate authority for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting said liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

