

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24276 of 2018

Yaduvanshi Devi Wife of Vinod Kumar Singh Resident of Village- New, P.S.-
Shiv Sagar, Anchal-Shiv Sagar, District-Rohtas Presently residing at Mohalla
Kali Asthan, Company Sarai P.O.-P.S.-Sasaram, District-Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Director (HQ), Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Director, Consolidation, Rohtas, Sasaram
5. The Consolidation Officer, Shivsagar, Rohtas
6. The District Magistrate-Cum-Collector, Rohtas.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sasaram, District-Rohtas
8. The Circle Officer, Block-Shivsagar, District-Rohtas.
9. Pramod Singh Son of Rameshwar Singh Resident of Village-Bamhour, P.S.-Shiv Sagar, District-Rohtas.
10. Ravindra Singh Son of Rameshwar Singh Resident of Village-Bamhour, P.S.-Shiv Sagar, District-Rohtas
11. Arun Singh Son of Rameshwar Singh Resident of Village-Bamhour, P.S.-Shiv Sagar, District-Rohtas
12. Rameshwar Singh Son of Laxmi Singh Resident of Village-Bamhour, P.S.-Shiv Sagar, District-Rohtas
13. Pradeep Kumar Singh Son of Vinod Singh Resident of Village-Bamhour, P.S.-Shiv Sagar, District-Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siddharth Harsh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

(i) For issuance of an appropriate



writ/order/direction commanding the Respondent authorities to execute the order of the Consolidation authority where-under and whereby the petitioner has been awarded 1/4th share in her ancestral agricultural property.

(ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ/order/direction commanding the Respondent authorities to carve out separate share of the petitioner in the ancestral property as per order of Consolidation authority and to handover the possession of the same to the petitioner.

(iii) For grant of any such other relief or reliefs, the petitioner would be found entitled to, on the facts and in the circumstances of the case.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that a proper petition is pending before the Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram pursuant to the development that took place after the writ petition filed. The same be disposed of.

4. The State Counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petition is still pending before the Collector, Rohtas, the same be taken to its logical conclusion.

6. The petitioner/heirs is/are free to submit fresh



petition/additional document in support of the contention.

7. It is expected that the same will be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest, if not disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

