

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4566 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2024 Thana- LAUHIYANAGAR District- Begusarai

Rakesh Kumar Singh @ Rakesh Singh S/o Late Lakandeo Singh R/o Village-
Panahans, Ward No.26, P.S.- Lohianagar, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sangeeta Sharma
For the Informant : Mr. Girish Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467 and 468 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of seven cases, but then all the cases emanate on account of land dispute which is going on in the family. It is further submitted that in the instant case, the allegation against the petitioner is that he filed a compromise petition in Case No. 91 of 2024 which was filed in the Court of SDM initiating a proceeding under Section 144 Cr.P.C., but



then the said compromise petition was not signed by the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the compromise was prepared on intervention of well wishers and the same was signed by both the parties, but later the informant resiled and the petitioner came to be implicated. It is further submitted that if the privilege of bail is granted to the petitioner, he will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence. It is next submitted that petitioner is in custody since 21.11.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant oppose the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Lohiyanagar P.S. Case No. 09 of 2024.

7. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court



comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying to delay the trial in any manner in that event, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner forthwith after recording reasons.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

