

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2703 of 2019

Shivanand Singh @ Shivanand Kumar S/o Jag Narayan Singh Vill.-Chousa,
Jai Shiv, Dhudhani Kamarpur Bukar (M.U.), Distt.-Bukar, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar
2. The Director General of Police Bihar
3. The Principal Secretary Administrative Department, Govt. of Bihar
4. Principal Secretary Department of Home (Police)
5. The Chairman, Central Selection Board, Sri Sai Tara Complex IAS Colony,
Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Patna
6. The Sepecial Executive Officer, Central Selection Board, Sri Sai Tara
Complex, IAS Colony
7. Secretary, Central Selection Board, Sri Sai Tara Complex, IAS Colony,
Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Manish Kumar (GP-4)
For the C.S.B.	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 24-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction upon the respondents to appoint him against the vacancies which remain vacant due to non-joining of the selected candidates in pursuance of Advertisement No. 1/2006 relating to selection of Driver-cum-Constable held in the month of March and June, 2017.

3. Mr. Vikram Singh, learned counsel for the



petitioner tried to persuade this Court by taking this Court through the various averments and the annexures appended to the writ petition in order to justify that the entire selection process was tinkered with various infirmities.

4. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of Respondent Nos. 5 to 7 with a categorical averment that the entire selection process was duly conducted in the manner prescribed under the advertisement in question and the merit list for the post of Driver-cum-Constable has been prepared on the basis of marks obtained in Vehicle Driving Efficiency Test. It is categorically stated that the petitioner has secured only 26 marks out of the cut-off marks of 31 in his category of BC-Male Non-Home Guard.

5. Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, learned Advocate for the Central Selection Board has further contented that much water has flown under the bridge and after completion of the selection process, two other processes for appointment to the post of Driver-cum-Constable have already been completed.

6. Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the specific averment that the petitioner failed to secure the cut-off marks under his category, this Court does not find any



reason or occasion to entertain the present writ petition.

7. The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anushka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

