

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7635 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-464 Year-2020 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

=====

Bhusan Ravidas S/o- Late Keshar Ravidas Village- Rahui Post- Rahui PS-
Rahui Distt- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pintu Kumar Patel, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 28-02-2025 Heard Mr. Pintu Kumar Patel, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable u/s 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307 and 302
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, the allegation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is that they entered
the house of the informant with deadly weapons and assaulted
the informant's side brutally due to which the informant's
wife sustained injury and died in course of treatment.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.



No such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. He has falsely been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. Nothing specific has been attributed against him. There is an inordinate delay of 42 days in lodging the instant FIR, which creates serious doubt about the prosecution case. It is further submitted that earlier vide order dated 13.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.76023 of 2024, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by this Court with an observation that the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge. In compliance thereof, the charge has been framed against the petitioner on 02.01.2025 which is mentioned in para 6 of the bail application. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he has been languishing in custody since 29.08.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the arguments of the parties as well as the period of custody, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below
where the case is pending/the Successor Court, in connection
with Rahui P.S. Case No.464 of 2020.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

