

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7851 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-675 Year-2024 Thana- JAMUI District- Jamui

Ravindra Yadav @ Ravindra Gope S/O Late Daso Yadav @ Daso Gop @
Desho Gope R/O Village- Garsanda, P.S and Distt.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jamui P.S. Case No. 675 of 2024 dated 16.10.2024, instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 109, 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on 14.10.2024, the informant had gone to attend Garbhu Puja in his village. Upon information that some occurrence has occurred with his son, he reached home at 08:00 pm, the family was crying. On asking them, they told that Pintu Yadav was shot on the road. The villagers took Pintu Yadav to Sadar Hospital, Jamui. When he reached the hospital, the staff told him that your son Pintu Yadav has been referred to PMCH, Patna. When he



went to the village, he came to know that Pawan Yadav and Mahendra Yadav of the same village were sitting on the road. Ravindra Yadav (petitioner) came on his motorcycle with Madhusudan Yadav. Ravindra Yadav (petitioner) took out his pistol and fired a shot. After firing, both of them went to his son Pintu Yadav on motorcycle and showed him the pistol from their waist, then Pawan Yadav started asking for it. Ravindra Yadav (petitioner) was not giving the pistol, so in the scuffle between the two, the trigger of the pistol got pressed and the bullet fired, due to which his son Pintu Yadav sustained bullet shot in the stomach and fell down injured. Ravindra Yadav (petitioner) was present at the distance of 10-20 steps from that place, they gave the pistol to him and he ran away to his house with the pistol. The bullet shell was recovered from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that it is apparent from the impugned order that the informant and victim were present in the court below and they had given in writing that Pawan Yadav and Ravindra Yadav (petitioner) were not involved in the occurrence rather their names were given due to village politics. It is also submitted that there was a scuffle between the Pawan



Yadav and Ravindra Yadav (petitioner) and during the course of scuffle, the trigger of the pistol was pressed and fire arm injury was caused in the stomach of informant's son. The said injury was not intentional but caused due to mistake. It is next submitted that similarly situated co-accused person namely, Pawan Yadav has been granted bail *vide* order dated 12.02.2025 passed by this court in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 4067 of 2025. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 17.10.2024, he has one criminal case against him and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamui in Jamui P.S. Case No. 675 of 2024.

(Khatim Reza, J)

sankalp/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

