

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6913 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-365 Year-2024 Thana- GORAUL District- Vaishali

1. Jangbahadur Ray Son of Late Bajrangi Ray Resident of Vill- Khajechand Chapra, P.S.- Kathara, District- Vaishali
2. Thagni Devi Wife of Jangbahadur Ray Resident of Vill- Khajechand Chapra, P.S.- Kathara, District- Vaishali
3. Mita Kumari @ Mamta Kumari D/O- Jangbahadur Ray Resident of Vill- Khajechand Chapra, P.S.- Kathara, District- Vaishali
4. Sarita Devi @ Manju Devi Wife of Musafir Ray Resident of Vill- Lohargama, P.s.- Sakara, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Ranjan No. II, Adv.
Mr. Kumari Rupa, Adv.
Ms. Anjana Gupta, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 04-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Goraoul (Kathara) P.S. Case No. 365 of 2024 dated 16.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 103, 238 read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant got information that her daughter is disappeared from house. On



such information, the informant came to the house of her daughter and the villagers informed her that her daughter was disappeared from house since night. It is further alleged that she searched for her daughter along with the villagers and found the dead body of her daughter in pond. The informant apprehends that the petitioners and the co-accused person have killed her daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioner no. 1 is the father-in-law, petitioner no. 2 is the mother-in-law, the petitioner no. 3 is the unmarried sister-in-law (nanad) and the petitioner no. 4 is the married sister-in-law (nanad) of the deceased and they have no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner no. 1 is an old man and the petitioner nos. 2, 3 and 4 are the ladies. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. It is further submitted that the husband of the deceased is in judicial custody. The petitioners have clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioners are in custody since 17.09.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail



petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Goraoul (Kathara) P.S. Case No. 365 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

