

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6092 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-281 Year-2024 Thana- MADHUBANI TOWN District-
Madhubani

=====

Umesh Yadav Son of Dhanik Lal Yadav Resident of Village- Basuara, P.S.-
Madhubani Town, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP.
	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2025 Heard Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the
petitioner, Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, learned APP for the State and
Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable u/s 126(2), 118(1), 118(2), 303(2), 3(5) of
the B.N.S. 2023.

3. Allegedly, petitioner is said to have assaulted the
informant's father brutally by means of *farsa* due to which he
got badly injured.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No
such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. He has falsely



been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. There is admitted land dispute between the parties. Both sides have sustained injuries and filed cases against each other. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he has been languishing in custody since 27.11.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner to assault the informant's father by means of *farsa* on his head due to which he sustained grievous injury.

6. Considering the arguments of the parties as well as the period of custody, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/the Successor Court, in connection with Madhubani P.S. Case No.281 of 2024.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

