

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3672 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-696 Year-2022 Thana- BANKA District- Banka

Nitesh Kumar S/o Surendra Yadav R/o Village- Kukurgora, P.S.- Banka,
District- Banka. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar, Advocate
Mr.Ravi Prakash Dwivedi, Advocate
Mr.Roushan Kumar, Advocate
Mr.Nishant Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Meena Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2025 Heard Mr. Praveen Kumar along with Mr. Ravi
Prakash Dwivedi, Mr. Roushan Kumar and Mr. Nishant Kumar,
learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Ms.
Meena Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with
Banka P.S.Case No.696 of 2022, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 356 and 379 of the Indian Penal
Code and later on Section 411 of the IPC was added.

3. The allegation is against unknown, who had
allegedly snatched Rs.7,000/- and a Mobile Phone.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that the stolen articles were recovered from
the co-accused persons and the name of the petitioner has
surfaced on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-
accused. Petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the
prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.



6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, considering the nature of allegation made against the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned district court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Banka/concerned court, in connection with Banka P.S.Case No.696 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS of 2023

7. The learned district court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in paragraph No.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. It is made clear that the learned district court must have been very specific and not confusing while mentioning the name of the accused person(s) in the impugned order, from whom the stolen articles were recovered.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

