

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7924 of 2017

1. Sarswati Devi and Ors Wife of Late Rajendra Prasad Yadav, resident of Mouja- Khedalpura, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.
2. Meghnath Prasad, Son of Brahmdeo Prasad, resident of Mouja- Sararj, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.
3. Anil Kumar, Son of Late Horil Prasad, resident of Village- Jamaluddin Chak, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.
4. Kaushalya Devi, Wife of Late Kishun Rai, resident of Village- Jamaluddin Chak, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.
5. Ram Bhajan Prasad, Son of Late Raja Ram, resident of Village- Jamaluddin Chak, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.
6. Rampari Devi, Wife of Late Ganga Rai, resident of Village- Jamaluddin Chak, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.
7. Indra Prasad, Son of Late Brahmdeo Rai, resident of Mouja- Saran, P.O. P.S.- Khagaul, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Patna.
3. The A.D.M., Patna Land Acquisition.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.
5. The S.D.O., Danapur.
6. The General Manager of East Central Railway, Hajipur.
7. The Chief Personal Officer, East Central Railway, Hajipur.
8. The Deputy Chief Personal Officer HRD Personal, East Central Railway, Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gautam Shah, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan- SC-25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 16-04-2025 Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset
submits that during the pendency of the writ petition,



petitioner no. 1 (Saraswati Devi), petitioner no. 4 (Kaushalya Devi) and petitioner no. 7 (Indra Prasad) are no more and as such, the matter against them stands dropped.

2. Heard the parties.

3. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“for issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction to the respondents to give suitable employment in Railway to the heirs/dependents of the petitioners according to the scheme of the Railways in lieu of acquisition of their lands at Khagaul (Danapur).”

4. The claim in the writ petition is that the Indian Railways were duty bound to provide job as the petitioners' land has been taken by the Indian Railways.

5. Annexure-2 of the said writ petition shows that pursuant to the last writ petition filed by the petitioners in CWJC No. 9718 of 2014, the General Manager, East Central Central Railways took up the matter and negated their claim on 30.12.2015 communicated by the Office of



the East Central Railway on 05.01.2016. The said order having not been challenged, attained finality.

6. In that background, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

7. The present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

