

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23860 of 2018

=====

Rajendra Prasad Singh, son of Late Sheo Nandan Singh, resident of Village/P.O-Nepura, P.S.- Deep Nagar, District- Nalanda.

Petitioner/s

VERSUS

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue & Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division, Patna
3. The Collector, Nalanda (at Biharsharif).
4. The Addl. Collector, Nalanda (at Biharsharif).
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Biharsharif (Nalanda).
6. Nirbhay Kumar, son of Late Chandra Shekhar Prasad, resident of Village/PO-Nepura, P.S. Deep Nagar, District-Nalanda (Purchaser).
7. Baikunth Singh, son of Late Kashi Singh, resident of Village/PO Nepura, P.S.- Deep Nagar, Districts- Nalanda (Vendor),

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sidhendra Narayan Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Saurabh Kumar, AC to SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-11-2025 Heard Mr. Sidhendra Narayan Singh, learned counsel
for the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of appropriate writ in
quashing of Order dated 27-3-20118,
contained in ANN-passed by the Divisional*



Commissioner, Patna in dismissing the Land Ceiling Revision No. 174/2011 and affirming the order dated 6-9-2011, contained in ANN-7, passed by the Addl. Collector, Nalanda in Land Ceiling Appeal No. 03/2008-09 allowing the appeal & in setting aside the order of learned Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Bihar Sharif (for short-DCLR) dated 19-5-2008, contained in ANN-S, passed in L. C. Case No. 05/04-05 by which pre-emption application u/s 16(3) of Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area & Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961 (For short- the Ceiling Act), was allowed in favour of petitioner and consequently, to allow the Land Ceiling Revision no. 174/2011 aforesaid also;

(ii) during pendency of this application, the operation of impugned order of Divisional Commissioner, contained in ANN-8, may be stayed and in compliance of section 16 (3) (ii) of the Ceiling Act, the petitioner be put in



*possession over land-in-question detailed
here-in-after.*

3. At the outset, it has been informed by Mr. Singh that the matter relates to pre-emption and as such with the new development, no case remains.

4. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

