

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3348 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-750 Year-2022 Thana- DARBHANGA COMPLAINT CASE
District- Darbhanga

=====

Manohar Kumar Jha @ Manohar Jha Son of Late Taranand Jha Resident of
Village- Tumoul, P.S - Bahera, Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ladli Kumari Wife of Manohar Kumar Jha village- Machhaita, Ps- Sakatpur,
Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Saurav Anand
For the State	:	Mr.Asha Devi
For Opposite Party No. 2:	:	Mr. S. Azeem

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- | | | |
|---|------------|---|
| 3 | 09-05-2025 | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 750 of 2022, in which cognizance has been taken for the offences punishable under Sections 323/341/379/498-A/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.3. The allegation, as per the First Information Report, is that the marriage of the Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with the petitioner on 11.05.2017 and after sometime, the petitioner and other family members started demanding |
|---|------------|---|



Rs. 2,00,000/- by way of dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the said demand, the petitioner and other family members tortured the Opposite Party No. 2 physically as well as mentally and also ousted the Opposite Party No. 2 from her matrimonial home.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner, and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 4,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case as well as the matrimonial case, if any, pending and/or decided between the parties.
5. Learned Counsel for the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2 accepts the offer so made by learned Counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 4,000/- per month in the bank account of the complainant-Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned Counsel for the



petitioner within fifteen days from today.

6. After having heard learned Counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2, I am inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.
7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.
8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Darbhanga, in connection with Complaint Case No. 750 of 2022.
9. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 4,000/- per month in the bank account of Opposite Party No. 2 positively, starting from 07th June, 2025.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

