

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6524 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-21 Year-2024 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

Chinku Kumar @ Chiku Kumar Son of Tejan Ram Resident of Vill-
Ibrahimpur, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 05-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 363, 365, 302, 201, 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that on 06.01.2024 her minor son aged about 17 years
had gone out with one Ajit but did not return and when
informant called Ajit on his mobile, he said that he is out of
station and informant's son was not present with him as he had
left him at Ibrahimpur. The learned counsel next submits that
later the dead body of the son of the informant was recovered
and Ajit came to be arrested and the name of the petitioner



transpired in the confessional statement of Ajit. It is next submitted that no material during course of investigation transpired connecting the petitioner with the offence except the confessional statement. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused Niraj Kumar had approached this court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.61771/2024 and the same was allowed by an order dated 07.10.2024. It is next submitted that petitioner is seeking regular bail and is in custody since 24.01.2024 and charge sheet has been submitted, as such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in jail.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No.21/2024.

6. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay



the trial in any manner, in that event, the learned trial court shall
be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

