

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3438 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Muzaffarpur

Rajendra Singh S/o Late Shankar Mahto R/o Village- Sarmastpur, Tola Pakri,  
Ward No.13, P.S.- Sakra, District- Muzaffarpur ... .. Petitioner/s  
Versus

The State of Bihar ... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, APP  
For the Informant :

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      09-05-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned  
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Muzaffarpur Mahila P.S. Case No. 71 of 2024 dated 17.10.2024,  
instituted for the offence punishable under Section 64 of the  
B.N.S.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that he tried  
to commit rape with the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this  
case. It is further submitted that petitioner has been made  
accused in this case due to village enmity. It is next submitted  
that after perusal of F.I.R., it would appear that the alleged date  
and time of occurrence is 17.10.2024 at 06:15 pm and the FIR  
has been lodged before Muzaffarpur Mahila police station at



10:15 pm which is 35 km away from the alleged place of occurrence. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 01.12.2024 having no criminal antecedents and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that there is a specific allegation of rape against the petitioner. The statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. has also supported the case of prosecution.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

8. However, the learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and take all endeavour to conclude the trial at the earliest, preferably, within a period of nine (9) months from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this order.

(Khatim Reza, J)

Sankalp/-  

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

