

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24530 of 2018

Govind Sah Son of Sri Jagdish Sah Resident of Village- Pathara, Tola-
Keshav Nagar, Ward No.10, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
4. The Additional Collector (Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Supaul.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Supaul.
6. The Sub Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Supaul.
7. The Circle Officer, Block- Pipra, Supaul.
8. The Officer-in-Charge, Police Station Pipra, Supaul.
9. Sri Mohan Mandal Son of Late Parmeshwari Mandal Resident of Village- Pathara Tola, Keshav Nagar, Ward No.10, Circle- Pipra, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.
10. Sri Mohan Mandal Son of Late Bhedi Mandal Resident of Village- Pathara Tola, Keshav Nagar, Ward No.10, Circle- Pipra, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.
11. Sri Sone Lal Mandal Son of Late Bhedi Mandal Resident of Village- Pathara Tola, Keshav Nagar, Ward No.10, Circle- Pipra, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Subash Chandra Yadav, Advocate
		Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14
		Mrs. Jahan Ara, AC to GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner had approached
this Court for a direction to be issued to the respondents to take
steps to remove the encroachment alleged to have been done by



Respondent Nos. 9 to 11 over plot pertaining to Thana No. 227, Khata No. 1078, Khesra No. 3572, Mouza-Pipra, Jolhania which as per the petitioner is registered as Gair Mazarua Aam in the Cadastral Survey.

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that they have filed a counter affidavit in which they have specifically stated that the encroachment in question has been removed and there is no encroachment as on date.

4. In light of the statement of the State Government that there is no encroachment existing as on date and whatever encroachment was earlier existing, has already been removed, this writ application is accordingly disposed of.

5. Petitioner is granted liberty that in case any encroachment takes place in future, he will have the liberty to file a representation before the District Magistrate.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Anushka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

