

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1205 of 2025**

1. Vimlesh Vivuti Ranjan Son of Late Jagdish Narayan Sinha, Resident of Mohalla- Sikandarpur Kundal, P.S- Sikandarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.
2. Devashish Ranjan, Son of Sri Rajendra Rakesh Ranjan Resident of Mohalla- Sikandarpur Kundal, P.S- Sikandarpur, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reforms (Revenue) Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Principal Secretary, Land Reforms (Revenue) Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Land Reforms Commissioner, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. District Magistrate cum Collector, Muzaffarpur.
5. Additional Collector (Land Revenue), Muzaffarpur.
6. Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Revenue Department, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. Circle Officer, Musahari Block, P.S.- Musahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
8. Mahendra Kumar Shukla, Circle Officer Musahari Block, P.S.- Musahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
9. Archita Bharti, Revenue Officer, Musahari Block, P.S.- Musahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
10. Ekta Kumari, Revenue Clerk, Musahari Block, P.S.- Musahari, District- Muzaffarpur.
11. Shiv Shankar Kumar Chaurasaia, Anchal Amin Musahari Block, P.S.- Musahari, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                                                                                                         |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Sr. Advocate<br>Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate<br>Mr. Dharendra Kumar, Advocate<br>Ms. Srishti, Advocate |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. S.C.-13                                                                                                                                             |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2      30-01-2025                      Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned Counsel for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

*(i) By issue of an appropriate writ the*

*16 Katha lang under Thana no. 402, Book no. 4,*



*Jamabandi no. 1479 Khata no. Old- 168, New- 193, Plot no. 736, 755, 819 820, 821, 823 and 825 and Khata no 666, Plot no. 822 in Mauza Brahampura, Anchal- Musahari, P. S.- Musahari District- Muzaffarpur which has been gifted to the petitioners be allowed to continue in their name and rent for the same be accepted and rent receipt in lieu thereof be granted to the petitioners.*

*(ii) By issue of an appropriate writ the respondents or anybody be restrained from disturbing and interfering with the possession of the petitioners over the said 16 Katha of land.*

*(iii) By issue of an appropriate writ it be directed that the erring officer namely respondent nos. 8 to 11 of this writ application be prosecuted for various offences committed by them and they be appropriately punished by being run down under departmental proceeding etc.*

*(iv) By an ad-interim order the respondents be directed to restore and allow 16 Katha of land under Thana no. 402, Book no. 4, Jamabandi no. 1479, Khata no. Old-168, New-*



*193, Plot no. 736, 755, 819, 820, 821, 823, 825 and  
Khata no. 666, Plot no. 822 in Mauza  
Brahampura, Anchal Musahari, P. S.- Musahari  
District- Muzaffarpur in the name of the petitioners  
and rent be accepted from them and rent receipt be  
issued.*

*(v) Any other relief or relief's which the  
petitioners be found entitled to be granted to them.*

3. The petitioners claim the land in question to be their *raiya* land which they got from their maternal uncle on the basis of gift deed. As submitted the names of the petitioners have been mutated in the revenue records.

4. The petitioner makes a prayer for restraining the respondents in interfering with his possession and also for acceptance of the land revenue by the State authorities.

5. The petitioner may take the recourse of alternative remedy provided under the law.

6. With these observation(s), the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)**

Neha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

